



Appendix D
Additional Comments

Timestamp	What is your zip code?	What would you like the project team to keep in mind as we move forward with the ReWild Mission Bay Restoration Plan?
2017/04/26 9:54:04 AM MDT	92117	Nature must come first
2017/04/26 10:33:11 AM MDT	92109	Area at De Anza cove with workout equipment, including for physically disabled people, is very popular and a community gathering site. Future plans should incorporate a similar active area.
2017/04/26 9:03:03 PM MDT	92122	The opportunities for public camping and a waterfront marina must not be eliminated and needs to be replaced and enhanced if Campland on the Bay is subject to the ReWild resoration plan. No habitat work should begin until the camping and marina are relocated to perserve the family friendly activities that have been enjoyed by locals and visitors for over 50 years. Campland has been a revenue generator for the City and a low cost alternative to the public for waterfront camping and boating activities; and the City must not move forward with ReWild without an equitable alternative identified in the final plan. Eliminating Campland would subject the City to lawsuits for Environmental Justice by directly impacting those that can't afford the expensive hotels and marinas on Mission Bay that now use Campland on the Bay for their family get away. Too many traditions have already been lost in San Diego to future development, so please don't end another 50+ year family tradition by disguising it as an "Environmental Enhancement". Thank You!
2017/04/26 10:16:54 PM MDT	92109	I am supportive of the three plans, and I am glad to know this effort was done so thoroughly and thoughtfully. Now, I'm concerned what the city is going to do with the final recommendation and how that will play out in their planning process.
2017/04/26 10:59:06 PM MDT	92109	Maximize the expansion of wetlands as directed by the MBMP to achieve at least 80 acres that will be sustainable with sea level rise through 2100. Option 5A has the potential to acheive this goal.
2017/05/01 3:23:51 PM MDT	92103	Alternatives A and B are favored because they fill in the cove to remove the stagnant and dirty body of water. Alternative C is not favored for this reason. In general, the area for "medium to high recreational use" needs to be increased to adequately accommodate the recreational uses (golf, camping, tennis, youth fields, beach volleyball) that are supported by the public. None of the plan alternatives have enough area for these uses. If one of these uses has to be eliminated, then eliminate golf since it takes up the most area and loses money. Eliminating golf would provide enough area for the other recreational uses as well as habitat.
2017/05/01 10:43:37 PM MDT	92109	Pedestrian walkway as proposed in Alt B and C for the western area of wetland should only be included with barriers to keep humans and their critters out of the marsh. I support them if done right so people can better connect with the marsh. Interpretive paths for students and special guided walks are great as are the platforms. The current bike path around the wetlands and over the Gotch bridge should remain, but bikes do not belong on the walking trails in the wetlands. A visitor center with clear visual access to the wetlands and the critters therein is an essential drawing card for the public. Alt A is the best alternative to meet the goals of the MBMP and the public input that emphasized the importance of expanding the wetlands and enhancing the natural environment.

Timestamp	What is your zip code?	What would you like the project team to keep in mind as we move forward with the ReWild Mission Bay Restoration Plan?
2017/05/04 6:53:25 PM MDT	92106	I hope that it will be designed to capture as much of the fine sediment that comes down Rose Creek, the Olney Street drain, and the stormdrain that flows into the Stribley Marsh as possible. The goal would be to maximize the accumulation of suitable sediments in the existing and restored wetlands to help offset the loss of wetlands that will result from Sea Level Rise. Similarly it would be good to identify locations and techniques by which appropriate dredge spoils could be artificially introduced to augment the natural and restored wetlands to offset the wetland losses caused by Sea Level Rise. I also urge that we will do as much wetland restoration to the south of KF marsh as we can - into what is now deeper water. Wetlands there will probably suffer fewer edge effects and better connectivity with the existing wetlands than restored wetlands east of Rose Creek. It would be good to design low intensity trails and observation points for wildlife observation and study into the project as well as the high intensity the trail around the bay. I strongly agree with the Rewild principle that the design should provide at least 80 more acres of restored wetland up to 2100. And the project should identify measures and procedures that will provide at least 80 acres of restored wetlands in perpetuity.
2017/05/05 12:17:38 PM MDT	92116	I went to Rewild last week, and the Audubon plan looked great.
2017/05/05 12:26:14 PM MDT	92117	Restore as much wetlands as possible! Rec areas are secondary concerns.
2017/05/05 12:36:10 PM MDT	92103	I wholeheartedly support your current efforts to restore the wetlands. I fervently hope this can be the city's priority rather than further development. I have walked, run and cycled in the area and along the creek for at least 40 years and seen its fragile beauty. I have also explored all other areas of the bay extensively so I know what a small portion is wetlands compared to other uses (however wonderful). I know I speak for the shorebirds and their admirers in pleading for essential habitat for them.
2017/05/05 12:57:38 PM MDT	92116	Think about the many users.
2017/05/05 1:26:25 PM MDT	92109	I am 60 years old & Love Campland. My family has history there & I still enjoy all they have to offer. I have had a boat there for 30 years. I learned to ski, swim there & have had the pleasure to teach my children (2 sons) to swim, drive a boat & learn how beautiful it is to go to such a wonderful place to enjoy the wildlife & the beauty of mission bay. Destroying Campland would be a terrible blow to the camping community. It is clean, safe for all, a true hidden treasure! Please leave Campland at its present location. To turn it to wet lands would be a larger breeding grounds for mosquitoes & take away from areas people can enjoy. Campland is established & respected part of mission bay. It does Not deserve to be eliminated.
2017/05/05 1:37:50 PM MDT	92109	My first job was at Campland. 1974-75. I was a Gardner there & truly enjoy growth of trees & plant life there that I had a part of. The tree canopy is unmatched in mission bay. I have made life long friends there. Please do all your improvements at the Deanza site! Campland is perfect & deserves to remain as is! Deanza is your blank slate
2017/05/05 2:28:28 PM MDT	92119	Our belief is that the work Audubon is doing to re-establish Mission Bay as a crucial part of the flyway is some of the most important conservation work anywhere in this country. Mission Bay was, and can be again, an integral part of the vast Pacific Flyway, something that we can't take lightly. We are really proud of you all and the work you are doing.

Timestamp	What is your zip code?	What would you like the project team to keep in mind as we move forward with the ReWild Mission Bay Restoration Plan?
2017/05/05 2:30:25 PM MDT	92037	I am primarily interested in improving and maintaining wild habitat for birds and the plant and fish and insects they require to thrive. I do like the plans for viewing access. I think that keeps people invested in wildlife.
2017/05/05 2:41:02 PM MDT	92109	Yes on filling in the stagnant body of water in Plan A and B. None of these plans have enough recreational use area for sand volleyball, tennis, baseball and golf. These are the things that the families in PB use every day so please do not push forward a plan that does not take into consideration the importance of these recreation areas.
2017/05/05 2:44:52 PM MDT	92109	I am strongly in support of a plan for NW Mission Bay that protects and restores rare and valuable coastal wetland. Given it's location in a well-used and -loved part of Mission Bay and San Diego, these plans should capitalize on making this native ecosystem a draw for human visitors, through public engagement, access, and education. It will take investment to meet these goals while still protecting the integrity of the ecosystem, but it is worth the value added to our city by building appreciation for this special place.
2017/05/05 4:23:42 PM MDT	92109	As a resident of Pacific Beach, the future of Mission Bay is of great concern to me. We must do all we can to protect the natural wetland habitat of Mission Bay, a vital habitat that supports biodiversity and ecosystem health in San Diego County. Preserving as much healthy wetland habitat as possible will also protect the surrounding urban areas from costly flooding as sea levels rise. Creating a beautiful natural space will bring tourists and visitors to the area. Please rebuild and/or preserve Mission Bay's natural habitat as much as possible going forward!
2017/05/05 4:55:29 PM MDT	92067	Constrain your ambition so that you can actually get something accomplished.
2017/05/05 5:03:43 PM MDT	91941	It is critical that we provide as much open space and access for animals and plants as possible. We also need to educate the public about these efforts and how they help our ecosystems and environmental health of our city and county.
2017/05/05 7:52:34 PM MDT	92105	The most important thing is to give as much of Mission Bay back to natural habitat and wildlife as possible. It would be best to have large areas where humans are excluded.
2017/05/06 7:04:11 AM MDT	92109	Don't displace people for your agenda
2017/05/06 7:06:01 AM MDT	92109	If you want to turn someplace into a swamp, start with La Jolla
2017/05/06 7:06:40 AM MDT	92040	Realize that man needs to enjoy these beautiful areas god has given us for our recreation.
2017/05/06 7:16:20 AM MDT	98362	We do not want any changes to Campland. The birds, such as snowy egrets, use the trees in Campland, and the ducks come back year after year to raise their young. We use Campland and we have many friends that do, as well.
2017/05/06 7:19:46 AM MDT	98362	Please don't mess with Campland. What a waste of money. It provides low cost vacations for many people in and out of San Diego county. The birds would be disrupted in their habitat.
2017/05/06 7:27:13 AM MDT	92105	to enable us to see as many birds as possible
2017/05/06 7:33:33 AM MDT	92105	we don't need more recreational area in Mission Bay...there already is plenty of space forepeople to play. Neither do we need a restaurant cluster: people now enjoy bringing food for a picnic and love it.

Timestamp	What is your zip code?	What would you like the project team to keep in mind as we move forward with the ReWild Mission Bay Restoration Plan?
2017/05/06 7:40:24 AM MDT	96150	Please keep it the way it is.
2017/05/06 7:43:04 AM MDT	93924	Camping. Where is the RV park? The RV park is what brought me to San Diego. We return once or twice a year to enjoy the outdoors there & of course spend money at other San Diego attractions.
2017/05/06 7:54:51 AM MDT	92109	Please keep RV camping on Mission Bay.
2017/05/06 7:56:10 AM MDT	92109	Waterfront RV camping access on Mission Bay should be increased or preserved at its current level to meet community need - not reduced or diminished
2017/05/06 7:57:17 AM MDT	92109	Waterfront RV camping access on Mission Bay should be increased or preserved at its current level to meet community need - not reduced or diminished
2017/05/06 8:34:25 AM MDT	92122	Waterfront RV camping access on Mission Bay should be increased or preserved at its current level to meet community need - not reduced or diminished. Please search for ways for both wetland restoration and camping goals to be met.
2017/05/06 8:42:21 AM MDT	92122	The project team needs to listen to the families that have been using campland on the Bay for camping and boating for 50 years and are now facing the elimination of another "affordable family friendly recreational activity" with no alternative options. This is a violation of environmental justice.
2017/05/06 8:49:22 AM MDT	92119	I have been an Audubon member since 1956 but I have never thought of myself as being exclusionary. I am concerned that none of the plans offer even a hint of camping. Our kids learned many things about birding, the environment and socialising while camping on Mission Bay. Now their kids are doing the same thing. I like rewilding some of the bay but I also want to continue having this unique place in the city to camp. Why not be more inclusive
2017/05/06 8:52:28 AM MDT	92109	Please do not eliminate campground and marina at mission bay without a minimally equivalent replacement alternative to replace. I have lived my entire adult life on mission bay and we don't need habitat for more birds! Maintain and enhance what is currently available and don't take away that which has brought enjoyment to local families and visitors for over 50 years not to mention the revenues lost to the City of San Diego if the campland campground and marina is eliminated. This is not acceptable and the team needs to go back to the drawing board !
2017/05/06 8:57:27 AM MDT	92109	yes! not to move campland
2017/05/06 8:57:37 AM MDT	89503	I support the Audubon society and many of its goals and objectives but in this case it makes absolutely no sense to destroy a public camping facility to make an artificial marsh. It brings much benefit to the community, particularly those not so financially well off, and enables them to appreciate the bay and the natural environment which otherwise many of them would not experience. If some more bird etc. habitat is needed I suggest you consider doing it on Fiesta island. A fee of one dollar or so per day could be imposed on the campers at Campland and others taking advantage of the bay facilities. It could be used by the Audubon society to enhance wildlife in more sensible areas which does not involve destroying a long established public facility. Should this happen my support of the Audubon society will cease as I suspect others in the community will do as well.

Timestamp	What is your zip code?	What would you like the project team to keep in mind as we move forward with the ReWild Mission Bay Restoration Plan?
2017/05/06 9:02:20 AM MDT	92122	No alternative should proceed forward without an equitable replacement alternative for any option that impacts the campground and marina at campland in the bay. It has been a gathering place for family and friends for many years and has brought in desperately needed revenue to the city of San Diego. None of your 3 alternatives addresses the impact to family friendly waterfront and boating activities or impacts to City revenues. Your current plan is for the birds, and does not address the impacts to the families using this part of mission bay. Start over!
2017/05/06 9:14:47 AM MDT	92069	Waterfront RV camping should not be reduced. It should be kept at the same number of spaces or increased to allow families to enjoy Mission Bay.
2017/05/06 9:16:52 AM MDT	93010	Waterfront RV camping access on Mission Bay should be increased or preserved at its current level to meet community need - not reduced or diminished! Continued waterfront RV camping access on Mission Bay is critically important, providing numerous benefits for diverse populations for more nearly 50 years. No one loves the outdoors more than campers. Preserving RV camping at its current size, with waterfront access, is critical to providing revenue for our city.
2017/05/06 9:17:30 AM MDT	98281	I am sorry to say that moving campland is a senseless idea. To refurbish the ground and turn it back to marsh would cost hundreds of millions of dollars, that San Diego can not afford and to what purpose. I do not see birds being displaced. They have beautiful campland to fly and roam free about. I also see a lot of green space in Mission Bay for all wild life. Get real people this is not a number one priority of San Diego and I am not at all for turning campland back to marsh.
2017/05/06 9:48:28 AM MDT	92109	Camping should always be made available to the public, none of these plans take that into account. How do you suppose conservationists are developed?
2017/05/06 9:59:33 AM MDT	85249	I would like to keep campland on the bay as is! If you want to take something away off of the bay take away Sea World! Our family enjoys campland wayyy more!
2017/05/06 10:02:54 AM MDT	92040	After reviewing these plans it's become apparent the Audubon Society has forgotten the most important part of conservation, the young minds that are seeded at an early age. How do you suppose many are introduced to nature? Camping. This is a vital and integral part missing from any of these plans. Without offering youth the opportunity to view wildlife at its source how do you expect to continue conservancy efforts when you haven't anymore conservationists? Please let's not be so above the fray that we ignore the most basic needs of human beings, to rediscover nature.
2017/05/06 10:15:24 AM MDT	92109	I am a supporter of keeping Campland on the Bay OPEN! The idea of turning it back into a swamp has some merit, but that's quite a lot of re-adapting, a huge project. All of your three alternatives removes Campland. In addition, I agree with the following points: Continued waterfront RV camping access on Mission Bay is critically important, providing numerous benefits for diverse populations for more nearly 50 years; No one loves the outdoors more than campers; Preserving RV camping at its current size, with waterfront access, is critical to providing revenue for our city. I am very green and generally support the Audubon Society. I support your project and goals in this case, except for the Campland part. Please support keeping Campland a part of San Diego!!
2017/05/06 10:17:42 AM MDT	85044	Save Campland it is an adorable family location we love to visit. Or kids love it and there are very few places like it. (I don't know of any) please save Campland for future generations.

Timestamp	What is your zip code?	What would you like the project team to keep in mind as we move forward with the ReWild Mission Bay Restoration Plan?
2017/05/06 10:20:57 AM MDT	84020	We live in Utah and come every year to camp land on the bay. This last trip we took a bike ride around the bay and stopped when we reached the wetlands to see all of the amazing birds that are being protected. We stood there for 20 minutes and only saw 2. So we're not sure it makes sense to remove camp land to make even more wetlands that birds aren't gravitating to. But if the wetlands are super critical then why couldn't it be located on the other side of camp land? It looks like a deserted RV park...? All we're saying is people matter too...and campland is a big draw for tourists who cannot afford to stay in the expensive hotels. And talk about a place that is taking care of the environment! Every inch of that park is clean and well-kept! We could not say the same about the beach a mile away. We love campland on the bay and it's staff...what a tragedy it would be to lose it. Thank you.
2017/05/06 11:16:57 AM MDT	92039	Waterfront RV camping access on Mission Bay should be increased or preserved at its current level to meet community need - not reduced or diminished! â€¢ Continued waterfront RV camping access on Mission Bay is critically important, providing numerous benefits for diverse populations for more nearly 50 years. I have been going for 47 of those years. My 8 yr old son learned to , fish, kayak, skateboard, ride a bike, roller blade, swim, play ping pong, disc golf, soccer, baseball, drive a boat, jet ski, windsurf, wakeboard, paddle board, play horse shoes, eat ice cream, play in the arcade, camp, enjoy bond fires on the sand, eat marsh mellow smores, watch shooting stars, take night walks along the water, plat form swimming jumping, meet new friends, enjoy free concerts, bbqs, and more Did you get the idea? Where else can you do all of that for \$20 entry fee? I go use the STATE PARKS for \$15.00 and I NOT EVEN GET Running Water? The people in charge of wanting to get rid of Campland also probably are the ones in charge if the State Parks screw ups. Campland \$20 entry is best deal in County. Camping on the bay is priceless. I know I forgot some more things do to there...Bird Watch next door at the reserve, feed ducks, crab hunt, snorkel, Jacuzzi, enjoy great food and sunsets, in a safe secure fun filed environment. â€¢ No one loves the outdoors more than campers. â€¢ Preserving RV camping at its current size, with waterfront access, is critical to providing revenue for our city. I would think if you want more wild life wet lands on the bay that Fiesta Island is a great place to shut down half of and turn to wet lands. I believe the dog park on Fiesta Island as well as that water front end could be used for such space. Even the thought of Ripping Down such a low impact perfect place like Campland is totally out of the question. Who thought of that anyway?The Bay was dredged to make recreational use for people to enjoy, a park. It is the best deal in San Diego for water front fun and day use, for \$20 dollars Call me if you want more info.
2017/05/06 11:38:59 AM MDT	92111-5411	To maximize the total area for wildlife that does not have human access.
2017/05/06 11:53:01 AM MDT	92109	We More Not Less Waterfront Camping on Mission Bay
2017/05/06 12:49:53 PM MDT	91941	Keep no less camp ground area then currently exists , we love the location and how it is kept up. wet lands makes no sence
2017/05/06 2:11:35 PM MDT	92101	Campland is a good steward of the environment and the visitors there call it home and treat it as such.
2017/05/06 2:12:39 PM MDT	85212	Eliminating waterfront camping of Campland will not be good. Campland provides significant revenue for the city, which could be used to help maintain the wetlands. It is important to have the wetlands preserved and campers at Campland appreciate that. We visit from AZ several times a year, spending thousands of dollars. It is important to have a place where children and adults can go to see the impact and blend of habitats. Removing Campland eliminates that for many. My children and I love seeing the wetlands up close and this proposed expansion removes that option.

Timestamp	What is your zip code?	What would you like the project team to keep in mind as we move forward with the ReWild Mission Bay Restoration Plan?
2017/05/06 2:29:12 PM MDT	92591	<p>Waterfront RV camping access on Mission Bay should be increased or preserved at its current level to meet community need - not reduced or diminished!</p> <p>Continued waterfront RV camping access on Mission Bay is critically important, providing numerous benefits for diverse populations for more nearly 50 years.</p> <p>No one loves the outdoors more than campers.</p> <p>Preserving RV camping at its current size, with waterfront access, is critical to providing revenue for our city.</p>
2017/05/06 2:30:25 PM MDT	91915	<p>There are so many other areas of Mission Bay that can be used for this ReWild Porject, that are not currently as useful and important as Campland. Campland has been part of the Community for almost 50 years. It is a fantastic place where families and everyone can enjoy camping and RVing right on the bay. And for the size of the land It provides much more revenue for the city than any hotel or anything else they can change it too. And it also provides many jobs. As far as park space, there is already a huge amount of park space all around Mission Bay, WE DO NOT NEED MORE PARK SPACE !!!</p> <p>No other city offers an opportunity to camp right on the bay, one more thing that makes San Diego the unique and awesome place that it is and this is the way we like it.</p> <p>WHY CHANGE SOMETHING WHEN IT IS SO GOOD FOR SO MANY PEOPLE !!!</p> <p>I totally DO NOT AGREE that Campland should be changed in any way or form, IT IS PERFECT THE WAY IT IS !!!</p>
2017/05/06 2:40:59 PM MDT	92110	<p>Wildlife in Mission Bay is important to preserve. However, to tear out current infrastructure does not make fiscal sense. There are other areas of Mission Bay that should be evaluated to create wetlands. The south side of Mission Bay is desperate need of resources. Utilizing Tecolote river as a water source and adding a small bridge to open the closed section to create better water flow can address many needs. The jet ski area can be moved closer to Sea World and the entire area can become a bird sanctuary. It is foolish not evaluate other options rather than just the Campland site which will have a negative effect on thousands of families that are just trying to connect with nature by camping on the bay.</p>
2017/05/06 2:49:46 PM MDT	92117	<p>San Diego needs Campland and all its amenities, Please keep Campland !</p>
2017/05/06 4:31:48 PM MDT	91910	<p>Alternative Plan A in my opinion is the best of the three final alternative plans. However, I believe that there is excessive land allocated for recreational use. All the area allocated for low to medium intensity recreational use should be included in the rewild or wetland restoration. The area allocated for medium to high intensity recreational use is sufficient and large enough to include some area for low to medium recreational use. Examining the vast area that Mission Bay recreational area encompasses, most of the Mission Bay area is allocated for recreational use and not to mention the miles and miles of coastal beaches allocated for recreational use. There is no significant impact to the overall size of the recreational area of Mission Bay by allocating the proposed low to medium recreational use to wetland restoration.</p>
2017/05/06 4:54:09 PM MDT	92109	<p>I think Habitat Alternative A with additional trails/access similar to Public Access Alternative C is the best choice. Maximizes the habitat and provides the public with an enhance experience and access to appreciate and learn more about the marsh environment.</p>
2017/05/06 5:18:00 PM MDT	85297	<p>We need to preserve campland rv camping.....preserve family fun and community. Keep kids social and having fun for generations to come! It is family tradition at campland! Rv camping all the way!!!</p>
2017/05/06 5:31:36 PM MDT	92240	<p>People live on this planet too; the white rich class has dominated the coast line; untouchable by us lower class campers; I guess if you have enough cash, you can enjoy the coast; you should be ashamed of the decision you are making.</p>

Timestamp	What is your zip code?	What would you like the project team to keep in mind as we move forward with the ReWild Mission Bay Restoration Plan?
2017/05/06 8:01:10 PM MDT	92117	Keep RV and tent camping open.
2017/05/07 12:55:23 AM MDT	92604	Yes
2017/05/07 7:16:51 AM MDT	95695	Leave Campland alone. It is a wonderful memory for my kids, and will be for their children. its a treasure
2017/05/07 8:10:12 AM MDT	53183	we spend 4 months each winter living at Campland on the Bay while we volunteer at the San Diego Zoo. While we are not San Diego residents, we do feel that our volunteer hours each year enable tourists and visitors to the Zoo to leave with an enhanced experience in San Diego. We thoroughly enjoy the view and amenities at the RV park and also shop, enjoy local restaurants, and visit local cultural events such as the Globe Theater. We could not afford to work and live in San Diego if we did not have an RV waterfront park. Please reconsider your recommendations for turning this valuable resource into a swamp. We and our neighbors contribute to the livelihood of the San Diego community.
2017/05/07 8:19:16 AM MDT	92104	Alternatives A and B are favored because they fill in the cove to remove the stagnant and dirty body of water. Alternative C is not favored for this reason. In general, the area for "medium to high recreational use" needs to be increased to adequately accommodate the recreational uses (golf, camping, tennis, youth fields, beach volleyball) that are supported by the public. None of the plan alternatives have enough area for these uses. If one of these uses has to be eliminated, then eliminate golf since it takes up the most area and loses money. Eliminating golf would provide enough area for the other recreational uses as well as habitat."
2017/05/07 8:22:47 AM MDT	92109	We need to have waterfront camping on Mission Bay, we need to have a place to enjoy the bay and have access to the water and not kept from going in it.
2017/05/07 8:34:34 AM MDT	92109	I understood that access to affordable accomodations, in the form of RV camping, was to remain part of the plan. And yet, I do not see camping reflected in any of the new proposals. It's important that all families can stay and play along Mission Bay, even if they are on a limited budget.
2017/05/07 9:59:00 AM MDT	92109	We the people of San Diego NEED the revenue n the people of Campland
2017/05/07 10:12:44 AM MDT	92117	I cannot support any plan alternative that fills in De Anza Cove. Therefore, only Alternative C is acceptable in my opinion. I DO support restoration of wetlands and all the natural benefits that brings - however, this must be a balanced and cost effective approach. Please preserve the unmistakable beauty and aesthetic appeal of the curvaceous De Anza cove and its current form. Besides the enormous cost savings of leaving it alone, public access to this part of Mission Bay (DeAnza Cove and its quiet bay) has been critical for families, pic-nics, special events and wading into the water. A bike path around the perimeter of the cove would offer wonderful views and allows the public to feel close to - and part of - the this important water feature.
2017/05/07 11:53:49 AM MDT	92117	Besides water access and community camping, Campland provides housing! Hundreds of people live in Campland! With the housing shortage increased in San Diego by 5% more room is needed! Having the area replaced for a marsh is not a wise community move! Furthermore it's likely the public visitors to the bay will just venture into the wetlands.

Timestamp	What is your zip code?	What would you like the project team to keep in mind as we move forward with the ReWild Mission Bay Restoration Plan?
2017/05/07 12:20:04 PM MDT	92106	Keep in mind the importance of family and all the memories that have been made and will make in the future with Campland by the Bay. My family has been going there for over 30 years. Not everyone can afford expensive vacations but anyone can afford camping. With all the tourist type attractions that San Diego offers it would be nice to enjoy this amazing place for many more years. Try to incorporate both the protected preserve and family fun in one. Allow our children and their children to appreciate the beauty of nature and all that Campland offers!
2017/05/07 1:53:42 PM MDT	92115	I support more marshland and more wildlife habitat in Mission Bay and minimal (low impact only) recreation activities in the area. I support quite walkways for people to enjoy nature. I support marshlands where sea level rise and pollution can be decreased. There are so many places where recreation activities can take place for people, and so few for native species in the City of San Diego. There is a draw for natural areas for tourist, which the City of San Diego is slow on recognizing the value of a city with natural areas close to downtown. Thanks for rewilding Mission Bay! Joni Mitchell
2017/05/07 2:27:02 PM MDT	92109	"Alternatives A and B are favored because they fill in the cove to remove the stagnant and dirty body of water. Alternative C is not favored for this reason. In general, the area for "medium to high recreational use" needs to be increased to adequately accommodate the recreational uses (golf, camping, tennis, youth fields, beach volleyball) that are supported by the public. None of the plan alternatives have enough area for these uses. If one of these uses has to be eliminated, then eliminate golf since it takes up the most area and loses money. Eliminating golf would provide enough area for the other recreational uses as well as habitat."
2017/05/07 5:02:09 PM MDT	92040	We are great fans of Campland by the Bay. We respect your love for the wildlife around Mission Bay, but please do not discount the importance of Campland. Our families have built many wonderful memories at Campland over the years, and we are raising our kids to love and appreciate the bay through our camping experiences. Thank you for your commitment and for your consideration of our families.
2017/05/07 5:16:33 PM MDT	92106	Waterfront RV camping access on Mission Bay should be increased or preserved at its current level to meet community need - not reduced or diminished. Continued waterfront RV camping access on Mission Bay is critically important, providing numerous benefits for diverse populations for more nearly 50 years. No one loves the outdoors more than campers. Preserving RV camping at its current size, with waterfront access, is critical to providing revenue for our city.
2017/05/07 7:33:32 PM MDT	85044	Please keep camping by bay as an option. It's an important part of the history of mission bay.
2017/05/07 7:34:29 PM MDT	85044	Please keep camping by bay as an option. It's an important part of the history of mission bay.
2017/05/08 12:12:15 AM MDT	92078	I am an avid user of the trails and backcountry areas here in San Diego county. I have raised 5 children with a love of the outdoors (Both of my son's are Eagle Scouts) and now I am helping my grand children to have that same level of appreciation for nature. We have relied on Campland to make those initial positive memories when our kids are too young to venture out into the wilderness. We do not have an RV but Campland is where we begin teaching them about pitching and sleeping in a tent, how to respect camp fires and fellow campers etc. Having Campland on the Bay provides a safe and fun location where I and many other adults can raise youth to love, enjoy, protect and respect our beautiful areas. Please join us in this effort and along with protecting the wetlands, protect the access that families have by allowing for continued camping on the Bay with all the amenities that make it a memorable experience for kids of all ages.

Timestamp	What is your zip code?	What would you like the project team to keep in mind as we move forward with the ReWild Mission Bay Restoration Plan?
2017/05/08 12:19:13 AM MDT	94526	Thank you for requesting input on the proposed alternatives. Please incorporate plans for the campgrounds. It is very important to me to maintain RV camping facility at the site. The facility has meant much to families that keep returning there for generations.
2017/05/08 8:08:45 AM MDT	92117	People first!
2017/05/08 8:22:41 AM MDT	92110	While I applaud your efforts I feel compelled to let you know that I am opposed to any plan for Mission Bay that would in essence get rid of the rv camping aspect of Campland and Mission Bay Park. As a long time San Diegan I can say that these venues provide a low cost family oriented experience. In the many times I have visited each of these facilities I have always enjoyed it and in particular watching the youngsters having a great time. These parks also provide a low cost alternative to mostly seniors in the off season months. San Diego made a commitment to being a big vacation town many years ago. However most of the hotels are expensive and many families cannot afford them. The rv parks have always filled this void and are an important part of San Diego's abundant recreational lifestyle. The rewild project as currently proposed would be a big step backward. I would seriously urge all of you to reconsider your efforts to strike a better balance.
2017/05/08 9:38:06 AM MDT	92130	Would like to see a significant amount of RV camping sites for San Diego Residents to use. Access to swimming and water sports on mission bay.
2017/05/08 9:40:23 AM MDT	92065	the beach area is so urban metro and over populated that it would be a huge loss to take away the camping at campland by the bay. I love I can take my dogs and relax outside of a crowded beach area. if fiesta island had overnight camping it would be different but there are so few nice calm outdoor places in the beach area and almost none where you can camp overnight and have dogs. please please preserve this land for camping.
2017/05/08 9:45:56 AM MDT	89509	Campland on the Bay should remain in it's current place as a camping ground. It provides access to a diverse population that can enjoy the environment. It also provides a place for people to come from out of town and invest revenue in the city of San Diego.
2017/05/08 12:07:33 PM MDT	92110	Retaining SDMBBoatandSkiClub
2017/05/08 3:48:18 PM MDT	92124	Keep Campland! Waterfront RV camping access on Mission Bay should be increased or preserved at it's current level to meet community needs! It is critically important, providing numerous benefits for diverse population for more than 50 years. No one loves the outdoors more than campers. Preserving RV camping at it's current size, with waterfront access, is critical to providing revenue for our city!
2017/05/08 4:03:28 PM MDT	92646	<p>That public use is the primary reason that Mission Bay exists as a recreation area. Destroying the vast majority of RV camping goes against the value of having a place like Mission Bay. Your misguided efforts would be better served elsewhere in areas that really should be protected. The loss of revenue to the City would be vast and the benefit of mudflats and walking paths is weak in this locale. Generations of us have travelled to Mission Bay to stay in our RVs, trailers and tents. Now we see three scenarios that will severely impact all of us. Mission Bay is unique and it doesn't need more mudflats than already exist. Hopefully someone at the City figures out that this mega expensive endeavor will only cost exponentially more every year due to lost tax revenue and tourism dollars. Without RV/camping on the water at Mission Bay, we and many others will not be spending any dollars in San Diego.</p> <p>Stop the madness. Go put your efforts somewhere else. Leave Campland and Mission Bay alone. They were both fine without you and will even better once you find something else to obsess over. That may sound harsh, but you're going to ruin something that can't be replaced. SAD.</p>

Timestamp	What is your zip code?	What would you like the project team to keep in mind as we move forward with the ReWild Mission Bay Restoration Plan?
2017/05/08 4:23:02 PM MDT	92646	That losing valuable RV camping space is a bad idea. How can anyone justify the tens of millions in cost to ruin this end of Mission Bay. Hopefully the city is just stringing you along and will come to their senses.
2017/05/08 4:28:48 PM MDT	92071	I think it is very important to keep Campland on the Bay somewhere within where it is now or moved to the old mobile home boot. We have few places left in San Diego that a family's of all income levels can come and enjoy the beautiful beaches in San Diego. I have been camping with my Grandchildren there for more than a decade.....they have grown up loving to go to Campland. go swimming , paddleboating. I know the wildlife is important to San Diego, but I think people are just as important. Besides they are the one that pay taxes in order to preserve wildlife. I think you would be doing a terrible injustices to all if you do not include Campland in your proposed plans.
2017/05/08 6:59:26 PM MDT	92071	Keep campland as is!
2017/05/08 8:29:17 PM MDT	92397	This is a one of a kind place for adults and children there is not too many camping places in California
2017/05/08 8:33:55 PM MDT	92646	Keep camping / rv options available on mission bay.
2017/05/08 9:32:52 PM MDT	83854	Although we live in Idaho (God's Country) we have been enjoying Campland on the Bay for the past several years. It is disappointing to note that all three of your plans have eliminated camping. We understand the importance of 'Wetlands' but to create an entire 'Wetland' where the current Campland is as well as 'the boot' is doing a huge disservice to all of us who have enjoyed Campland for the past few decades. There is tremendous support for continued RV camping either at Campland or 'the boot'. Something we see no mention of in your website. Not all who attended the workshops were disdainful of trying to find a compromise to continue RV camping at it's present capacity as well as expand the wetlands. Continued waterfront RV camping access on Mission Bay is critically important to those of us who have enjoyed staying at Campland. It has been a wonderful place to create special memories with family and friends. There is no other place like it in San Diego. No one loves the outdoors more than campers. Campers are dedicated to keeping Mission Bay's waters and beaches pristine, regularly participating in clean-ups and volunteering with causes committed to the preservation of the Bay. Preserving RV camping at its current size, with waterfront access, is critical to providing revenue for San Diego. Campland today generates more than \$2.5 million a year for the city, not including the money its campers spend supporting many other local small businesses during their stay. Thank you. Bob and Cathryn Rapalli
2017/05/09 7:48:43 AM MDT	91901	Our family and friends have been camping at Campland for 15 years. Our kids are "Campland Raised". Mission Bay is HUGE!, there clearly is room for continued camping on Mission Bay and expansion of the marsh. With Anza the most suitable for continued camping and the great need for continued camping on the Bay, more camping spots are needed not less. Mission Bay is big enough for the animals and campers and should be developed with that in mind. Our kids have been exposed to the wildlife and Bay through camping there and their kids should be exposed to it as well. Please allow this great opportunity for our kids to continue. Keep Camping on Mission Bay!

Timestamp	What is your zip code?	What would you like the project team to keep in mind as we move forward with the ReWild Mission Bay Restoration Plan?
2017/05/09 8:24:58 AM MDT	93436	I would like you to keep in mind that the people like me that enjoy camping at the bay and many other places along the coast of California are not activists in general. We enjoy mostly the peace and quiet of camping. I don't believe you have heard from most of us that want the bay and Campland on the Bay to stay exactly like it is. You hear from organized groups like environmentalists, no growth groups, global warming groups, etc. People like us usually don't even hear about something like this until its too late. Even though I have moved away from San Diego after 20 years I still enjoy returning every year to Campland on the bay, we meet up with family and usually have a group over 30 people. Again I think I am speaking for 100's pf people you will never hear from. Please take that into consideration and leave the campground intact.
2017/05/09 8:28:31 AM MDT	85298	I feel that there should be no changes to the current area. Camping on the bay should be maintained and in my opinion, expanded so that more families can enjoy this area. Campland on the Bay should not be altered in any way!!!
2017/05/09 8:40:52 AM MDT	90242	I rather campland does not change and is kept the way it looks now this is a place where we go at least three times a year to spend time with family and friends and relax!
2017/05/09 8:42:19 AM MDT	90242	I rather campland does not change and is kept the way it looks now this is a place where we go at least three times a year to spend time with family and friends and relax!
2017/05/09 9:06:14 AM MDT	92886	â€ Continued waterfront RV camping access on Mission Bay is critically important, providing numerous benefits for diverse populations for nearly 50 years. â€ Campers are good stewards of the environmental. No one loves the outdoors more then campersâ€ Preserving RV camping at its current size, with waterfront access, is critical to providing revenue for our city.
2017/05/09 9:19:49 AM MDT	90232	<p>To Whom it may concern,</p> <p>My name is Lori Pass, I as well as many others find Camping on Mission Bay to be a second home. In the summer of 1986 at six weeks old I spent my first stretch of time at Campland on the Bay. Every summer (and even some spring breaks since) I have been back. I count down the days every year to when I am able to return. At thirty years old I canâ€™t imagine anywhere else I would rather spend my vacation than camping on the bay.</p> <p>It's my love of camping on mission bay that draws me back to San Diego throughout the year. Staying in hotels to do Christmas shopping, or to visit local breweries and hang out with friends that have come down with me. Campland draws so many people to your city and introduces them to all the amazing things it has to offer.</p> <p>Mission Bay activities are another huge draw for so many people including myself. From lounging on the beach, to wave runner rentals and other water activities. Campland has made this an easy and fun experience for so many! For over 45 years they have been a staple in so many familiesâ€™ yearly adventures to the bay. I can only imagine the amount of revenue and taxes that they bring to your city along with all the amazing things they do for it!</p> <p>My family has enjoyed Campland's water front camping since the first year they opened in 1969. I have made countless friends throughout the years that I still have today. I only can hope that my children are able to fall in love with your city, continuing a 48 year old family tradition of water front camping!</p> <p>Thank you for your time!</p>
2017/05/09 9:29:55 AM MDT	91901-3461	Please consider keeping Campland as a location for families to camp and play! I grew up going to Campland and now I have children and would still like to make memories there for years to come!!!!

Timestamp	What is your zip code?	What would you like the project team to keep in mind as we move forward with the ReWild Mission Bay Restoration Plan?
2017/05/09 9:35:53 AM MDT	90230	By removing bay side camping you are not only hurting traditions, some almost 5 decades long, but you are hurting revenue to your city. The first plans that included Campland relocating have all been removed. Camping at Campland is the main reason that my family comes to San Diego year after year, as well as many other families we know. We enjoy the bird sanctuary and understand the importance of nature, but removing a 48 year old tradition for many families seems extreme. Moving campland and not removing should be considered at all costs!
2017/05/09 10:22:28 AM MDT	92122	I would like to ensure that the ReWild team fully considers alternatives to NOT impact the campland or marina at Campland on the Bay or as a minimum include an alternative to mitigate the impact and relocate the campland and marina before any work takes place for the ReWild project. This is currently lacking in the restoration plan, and must be included to ensure that the affordable family recreational activities that this facility provides to the citizens of San Diego, as well as the exposure and economic benefit it provides to the city from out of town visitors. This should not be overlooked in the environmental impact report for the restoration plan. Thank You
2017/05/09 10:40:53 AM MDT	92107	<p>While Re-Wilding this area is a nice idea, all of these alternatives are extreme and severely reduce recreational use and enjoy-ability of this rare water front treasure.</p> <p>I think there needs to be more consideration of preserving long established bay-front camping & recreation while also increasing the natural environmental foot print. We need both!</p> <p>I believe the public really prefers, continued waterfront RV camping access on Mission Bay. I believe it is critically important, providing numerous benefits for diverse populations for more nearly 50 years. No one loves the outdoors more than campers. Preserving RV camping at its current size, with waterfront access, even it it must be shifted towards the boot or other near location.</p> <p>This area has provided family tradition for many San Dieagan's and visitors alike. This unique camping facility is truly a San Diego treasure. Please keep that in mind while considering these changes. I am all for preserving nature, but we need to find balance here.</p> <p>The Galicia Family.</p>
2017/05/09 11:22:45 AM MDT	92109	Campland should #1, stay where it is, or #2, relocate to the boot of De Anza Cove.
2017/05/09 11:22:46 AM MDT	92109	<p>Campland on the Bay is our neighbor...</p> <p>They are excellent neighbors who have lived cohesively with the wetlands since 1968. We support the wetlands and have partnered to clean up the existing wetlands. Campland has an existing, well established tree canopy, and indigenous birds who call these sacred trees home. We need camping to remain on Mission Bay. I propose we leave Campland at its existing location, and focus the wetlands on De Anza Cove. While supporting ReWild's mission to our environment, I have to ask....why do you feel so compelled to dominate and destroy everything you disagree with. There is a compromise....let's work together to keep Campland on the Bay, and partner with its supporters to achieve your vision of wetlands on De Anza Cove.</p>
2017/05/09 11:27:40 AM MDT	92037	San Diego's population, and that of the entire region is growing exponentially and is seems ludicrous to consider plans to reduce the public's access to the Bay. Lets continue to expand the access to the Bay and allow visitors and residents to experience shoreside living through Campland and a revitalization of DeAnza which further increases utilization of the waterfront.

Timestamp	What is your zip code?	What would you like the project team to keep in mind as we move forward with the ReWild Mission Bay Restoration Plan?
2017/05/09 12:09:01 PM MDT	85224	Please don't take away RV camping from this bay.
2017/05/09 12:22:57 PM MDT	85286	DO NOT MOVE CAMPLAND!!!! Use Campland to promote a healthy environment.
2017/05/09 12:28:22 PM MDT	92127	I think we keep Campland where it is. It makes financial sense and DeAnza Cove is heavily utilized now. Let's expand on Campland and make it an even more amazing San Diego experience. We can add Casitas like they have at Lake Hemet for those who don't have camping gear/rigs. We can add an events facility for event rentals like weddings, corporate events. Campland is very, very unique. Let's give it a classy update. It would be a shame to size it down and make it less than it is. Why take down a San Diego icon?
2017/05/09 12:45:36 PM MDT	92102	I viewed all 3 of the Alternative Habitat Maps and I agree with all 3 because in my ideal world all of the wildlife that would inhabit or visit these areas deserves to have a place to live and thrive in addition this would allow all of us who appreciate these wildlife's would also have a place to go and appreciate them as well. I realize too that we will have to share this area with the political influences, developers and tourist industry but maybe it will be at a minimum. Adrienne Israel

Timestamp	What is your zip code?	What would you like the project team to keep in mind as we move forward with the ReWild Mission Bay Restoration Plan?
2017/05/09 12:48:32 PM MDT	92117	<p>As discussed at the May Friends of Rose Creek meeting:Alternative A CommentsI liked Alternative A because it provides for the most habitat restoration both short term and by 2100 sea level rise projections.It also provides upland habitat for user access to both the upland habitat and the marsh.Unfortunately, Rose Creek is still a straight channel through the habitat. I much prefer to see Rose Creek curve into the De Anza area even if it requires additional rip/rap. As illustrated by the Rose Creek Native Plant Garden adjacent to the Rose Creek Cottage, rip/rap can be covered in plants and be look quite natural.Other problems are the active and passive recreation areas abut Rose Creek between Grand Avenue and the Mike Gotch Bridge. There should be a buffer of upland habitat between all recreation areas and the creek.Alternative B CommentsAlternative B leaves too much open water to begin with. I like the channels from Rose Creek into the land currently used by Campland and the pedestrian access overlooks.Unfortunately, Rose Creek is still a straight channel through the habitat. I much prefer to see Rose Creek curve into the De Anza area even if it requires additional rip/rap. Alternative C CommentsI like the channel through the De Anza cove "boot" which creates a hopefully vehicle free island. I think this option provides the most passive water recreation such as kayaking and stand up paddle boarding which will bond humans with the salt marsh. This also has nice pedestrian access. This also leaves a popular swimming area in place while improving the water quality. Unfortunately, this has the least amount of habitat left by 2100.Unfortunately, Rose Creek is still a straight channel through the habitat. I much prefer to see Rose Creek curve into the De Anza area even if it requires additional rip/rap. General CommentsNone of these alternatives really address water quality as Rose Creek is always left to flow into open water. While I understand that at high storm events that will not only be necessary, but the creek will make it happen, I would like to see the creek flowing through the marsh during non-storm events instead of directly into the open bay. This is critical to improving the water quality in Mission Bay Park.I would like to see Rose Creek curve into the De Anza property during low flow events while still having a straight shot to the bay during storm events. I believe The Friends of Rose Creek have provided a concept for this option in the past.The tidal zone of Mission Bay continues past the Mike Gotch Bridge, under Grand and up to Garnet Avenue which is the upstream end of the tidal flush/salt marsh zone.In addition to pedestrian overlooks I would like to see boardwalks over the salt marsh like exist in the Florida Everglades so humans can truly experience the salt marsh up close. These could be closed at sunset to allow the critters quiet time.I would also like to see the upland habitat adjacent to the passive recreation areas not have a straight edge but be curved or zig zagged. I like the location of the Visitor Center in all options.Finally, since the sedimentation from Rose Creek is anticipated to settle in this area and rise continually, I'm wondering how accurate the 2100 projections are. I think to really determine the sea level rise projections, we would need to have some sort of analysis about the sedimentation drops in the area.</p>
2017/05/09 1:07:11 PM MDT	93436	We love camping at campland with our families. Please don't take that away from us.
2017/05/09 1:14:06 PM MDT	92021	I would like to see campland on the bay stay and continue to be a place my family loves going to several times a year.

Timestamp	What is your zip code?	What would you like the project team to keep in mind as we move forward with the ReWild Mission Bay Restoration Plan?
2017/05/09 1:20:41 PM MDT	92503	Waterfront RV camping access on Mission Bay should be increased or preserved at its current level to meet community need - not reduced or diminished! Continued waterfront RV camping access on Mission Bay is critically important, providing numerous benefits for diverse populations for more than 45 years. The access to RV camping that currently exists should be increased, not reduced or marginalized in any way -- especially at a time when San Diego's cost-of-living continues to increase, and families are finding it increasingly difficult to afford out-of-town vacations. No one loves the outdoors more than campers. Continued RV camping access on Mission Bay's waterfront is critical to ensuring San Diego families have the same access to water recreation and camping experiences that we have known for generations. Campers are dedicated to keeping Mission Bay's waters and beaches pristine, regularly participating in clean-ups and volunteering with causes committed to the preservation of the Bay. Preserving RV camping at its current size, with waterfront access, is critical to providing revenue for our city. Campland today generates more than \$2.5 million a year for the city, not including the money its campers spend supporting many other local small businesses during their stay. Reducing or disrupting RV camping could mean millions of dollars in lost revenue.
2017/05/09 1:27:57 PM MDT	85345	That people need a place to relax along side wildlife.
2017/05/09 1:41:12 PM MDT	92129	please keep in mind that the residents and tourists have enjoyed this recreation area for the last 50 years and being outdoorsy kind of people are environmentally conscious and would like to enjoy overnight camping next to the water in harmony with nature. We do not want to be locked out (restricted) from this recreation area. It is nicer to enjoy the outdoors than to look at a marshy and muddy area. I do not think we should move ahead with this plan at all. We have enjoyed and taken care of the natural habitat for the last fifty years.
2017/05/09 3:21:46 PM MDT	92117	I read the Audubon proposals. I believe we have plenty (too much) marshland already on Mission Bay. I would like to see the area used for public recreation, use of the waterways, and public camping.
2017/05/09 4:12:16 PM MDT	92107	Push to get local MB and PB residents involved wether its in mission Bay or a reservation event
2017/05/09 5:11:28 PM MDT	92117	Leaving Campland on the Bay alone.
2017/05/09 5:12:52 PM MDT	92109	maintaining current camping locations
2017/05/09 5:13:19 PM MDT	91942	I would really love to see more camping areas. There's very few places to camp here in San Diego by the ocean and it is vital for families and people of all ages to be able to have places like that where we can go and enjoy ourselves and get together with our families and go in the water and enjoy the ocean and everything it's like human wetlands. Thank you for taking the time to ask people what they want it's important. Sincerely, Laurie Owens
2017/05/09 6:00:29 PM MDT	92058	Hi, I've been enjoying Mission Bay since 1962 and it is one of my favorite San Diego places. I would love to see much more of the area devoted to wildlife, birds and aquatic species, especially as climate change occurs and tides rise. Please keep spaces for our precious wildlife during the restoration process. Thank you!
2017/05/09 6:53:32 PM MDT	91910	Every inch of wetlands that we can reclaim for San Diego is priceless. I support the plan that will result in the highest yield of restoration. As a lifelong San Diego resident, I have witnessed the changes that little by little, the conservationist have achieved and there is no question of the importance of their efforts. Let them do their thing!

Timestamp	What is your zip code?	What would you like the project team to keep in mind as we move forward with the ReWild Mission Bay Restoration Plan?
2017/05/09 7:57:50 PM MDT	92021	I would like to suggest that more Campland should be constructed. How about a new Campland on Fiesta Island, it is large enough that perhaps only half of it could be a replacement for Campland, and the rest could be used for other activity's.
2017/05/09 8:53:30 PM MDT	92130	I favor alternatives A and B because they fill in the cove to remove the stagnant and dirty body of water. Alternative C is not favored for this reason. I strongly believe that the area for "medium to high recreational use" needs to be increased to adequately accommodate the recreational uses (tennis, camping, youth fields, golf, beach volleyball) that are supported by the public. None of the plan alternatives have enough area for these uses. If one of these uses has to be eliminated, then I suggest that golf be eliminated since it takes up the most area and loses money. Eliminating golf would provide enough area for the other recreational uses, as well as habitat.

Timestamp	What is your zip code?	What would you like the project team to keep in mind as we move forward with the ReWild Mission Bay Restoration Plan?
2017/05/09 9:10:06 PM MDT	92109	<p>Here are some high level comments on the three alternatives.</p> <p>Alternative A Comments I liked Alternative A because it provides for the most habitat restoration both short term and by 2100 sea level rise projections. It also provides upland habitat for user access to both the upland habitat and the marsh. Unfortunately, Rose Creek is still a straight channel through the habitat. I much prefer to see Rose Creek curve into the De Anza area even if it requires additional rip/rap. As illustrated by the Rose Creek Native Plant Garden adjacent to the Rose Creek Cottage, rip/rap can be covered in plants and be look quite natural. Other problems are the active and passive recreation areas about Rose Creek between Grand Avenue and the Mike Gotch Bridge. There should be a buffer of upland habitat between all recreation areas and the creek.</p> <p>Alternative B Comments Alternative B leaves too much open water to begin with. I like the channels from Rose Creek into the land currently used by Campland and the pedestrian access overlooks. Unfortunately, Rose Creek is still a straight channel through the habitat. I much prefer to see Rose Creek curve into the De Anza area even if it requires additional rip/rap.</p> <p>Alternative C Comments I like the channel through the De Anza cove "boot" which creates a hopefully vehicle free island. I think this option provides the most passive water recreation such as kayaking and stand up paddle boarding which will bond humans with the salt marsh. This also has nice pedestrian access. This also leaves a popular swimming area in place while improving the water quality. Unfortunately, this has the least amount of habitat left by 2100. Unfortunately, Rose Creek is still a straight channel through the habitat. I much prefer to see Rose Creek curve into the De Anza area even if it requires additional rip/rap.</p> <p>General Comments</p> <p>None of these alternatives really address water quality as Rose Creek is always left to flow into open water. While I understand that at high storm events that will not only be necessary, but the creek will make it happen, I would like to see the creek flowing through the marsh during non-storm events instead of directly into the open bay. This is critical to improving the water quality in Mission Bay Park.</p> <p>I would like to see Rose Creek curve into the De Anza property during low flow events while still having a straight shot to the bay during storm events. I believe The Friends of Rose Creek have provided a concept for this option in the past.</p> <p>The tidal zone of Mission Bay continues past the Mike Gotch Bridge, under Grand and up to Garnet Avenue which is the upstream end of the tidal flush/salt marsh zone.</p> <p>In addition to pedestrian overlooks I would like to see boardwalks over the salt marsh like exist in the Florida Everglades so humans can truly experience the salt marsh up close. These could be closed at sunset to allow the critters quiet time.</p> <p>I would also like to see the upland habitat adjacent to the passive recreation areas not have a straight edge but be curved or zig zagged. I like the location of the Visitor Center in all options.</p> <p>Finally, since the sedimentation from Rose Creek is anticipated to settle in this area and rise continually, I'm wondering how accurate the 2100 projections are. I think to really determine the sea level rise projections, we would need to have some sort of analysis about the sedimentation drops in the area.</p>

Timestamp	What is your zip code?	What would you like the project team to keep in mind as we move forward with the ReWild Mission Bay Restoration Plan?
2017/05/09 9:53:32 PM MDT	98346	If you remove Campland, I will no longer be able to afford to visiting San Diego. It is a unique opportunity for middle class families to experience Mission Bay area and all the unique sites San Diego has to offer. Yes there are a few other RV parks, but they are basically parking lots next to freeways in undesirable areas. Campland has been there for decades. They are not destroying waterfront, but protecting it, and allowing people to have access to the water that don't have fancy water front homes, or places with pools. This includes families who live in apartments who may not even have a yard.
2017/05/09 11:09:50 PM MDT	92705	Waterfront RV camping access on Mission Bay should be increased or preserved at its current level to meet community need - not reduced or diminished
2017/05/10 6:55:05 AM MDT	92065	Availability to winter camping in San diego
2017/05/10 9:37:37 AM MDT	93551	Please keep Campland as it is. I have been coming here since I was a child and now I am taking my own family. My girls are only 8 and 5. I want them to grow up with Campland and have the opportunity to grow memories with their own families. It is one of our favorite places to camp.
2017/05/10 10:07:43 AM MDT	92646	Campland on the Bay must stay in existence. There isn't anywhere around the bay with ample room for RV camper families to enjoy the area. It is a money maker for the city/county of San Diego. San Diego has several lagoons already established for wildlife.
2017/05/10 10:14:11 AM MDT	92109	I am not in favor of the projected restoration plan. I want waterfront camping to remain on Mission Bay as it has for the last 50 years. The projected Rewild plan does not include waterfront RV camping, environmentally minded people of San Diego and tourists, no one loves the outdoors more than campers. Waterfront RV camping also brings in revenue to the city of San Diego, I think this is important also. Unfortunately the projected rewild plan does not meet these criteria, it only limits revenue and recreation which this city does not need.
2017/05/10 10:39:37 AM MDT	81521	We think the thought of turning campland into a swamp for water foul etc is outrages ,we have spent many years of winters in Campland and spent thousands of dollars in the San Diego area. We have watched the area that is fenced off with chain link go from bad to worse as the Audubon people have not maintained their part of the bargain as They were supposed to (i.e.) trash etc on their side of the fence that campers are not allowed on. We think Campland should be able to stay in its present form as it has been a great service to people who camp there,as well as the revenue it creates for the city. We would hate the idea of having to look else where for camping such as Campland provides. C.Alexander
2017/05/10 10:41:54 AM MDT	92109	Observation stations.
2017/05/10 10:56:29 AM MDT	92109	Regarding the three draft proposals : Of the three Alternatives, I believe each has some merit...my preference of the three is A. However if replenishing the marsh is a necessity then Rose Creek should be altered to its previous "natural course" so that sediment can pool in the existing wetlands area. By sending Rose Creek through a marsh like area it would also filter the water as it flows to the bay.My last comment goes to the projections of water rise over the next (X) amount of years. I have no way of knowing if this is accurate. If in fact water rise measurements as noted at the Scripps Institute are consistent, then there is no reason to anticipate the marsh disappearing for another 200 years. This to me benefits your effort as it gives the public a firm belief in that which you are trying to accomplish will have a long term benefit. Therefore it might behoove your committee to tap into the actual science we have, to demonstrate sea rise, rather than using hyperbolic speculation.

Timestamp	What is your zip code?	What would you like the project team to keep in mind as we move forward with the ReWild Mission Bay Restoration Plan?
2017/05/10 10:59:47 AM MDT	90275	Do not get rid of campland! Our family has been going there for 4 generations. My boys love it.
2017/05/10 11:03:19 AM MDT	85249	Keeping at least as many RV / Camping spots as current, but honestly, there is not enough, need more. Also would like it to be near public beach access, at least walking distance from the RV / Camping spots. Thank you for your consideration! Brian Salazar
2017/05/10 11:12:38 AM MDT	85172	The recreational camping and RV'ing has been where we vacation with our kids. They love to visit several times per year. We respect the environment and teach our kids to. I am not opposed to the wetland expansion, however there should be at least as much camping/RV as there is currently if not more. Thank you.
2017/05/10 11:18:11 AM MDT	92120	Please keep as much camping facilities as possible. Love to see Campland stay!!!
2017/05/10 1:21:07 PM MDT	61554	It is very important that waterfront RV camping is preserved on Mission Bay. It should be increased or preserved at its current level to meet community need - not reduced or diminished! This has been an established area for local, national, and international campers for over 50 years . The Campland park is a gem for San Diego. I have traveled all across this country and have not found a similar facility. It needs to be kept.
2017/05/10 2:36:51 PM MDT	92123	Waterfront RV Camping Please! Waterfront camping is essential in that region and to our cities much needed revenues.
2017/05/10 2:43:24 PM MDT	92123	We definitely want our overnight RV camping to be maintained. If we lose our campland, we hope to have equal or greater access and opportunity in or around the "boot" of DeAnza. Thank you.
2017/05/10 2:50:19 PM MDT	92123	Over night RV Camping!!! Please we love it and need it to be maintained. Save our Camping. We love the outdoors and it is affordable for us.
2017/05/10 3:38:03 PM MDT	92604	There is a great need for waterfront RV camping along Mission Bay. The diverse population in San Diego and the surrounding areas should have equitable access to the waterfront. With no RV campsites along the beaches, many of the area's community members would be denied the opportunity to experience recreational camping along an ocean bay. When RV camping facilities exist along Mission Bay, many families are able to enjoy the outdoors, the ocean, and water activities - at an affordable cost. Without those facilities, many of these families would be denied access to family vacations spent along the beaches of Mission Bay. For almost 50 years Campland on the Bay has provided diverse families with the opportunity to build lifetime memories of vacations spend camping on Mission Bay. The current access should be preserved at its current level, if not increased, to meet the needs of the community. On the more practical side, review the revenues that the city receives each year from Campland on the Bay - everyone is benefitting. RV camping access on Mission Bay should be preserved, or increased, at its current level.
2017/05/10 4:07:55 PM MDT	92117	More wetlands!
2017/05/10 4:08:39 PM MDT	92117	Of the 3 alternatives, I like Alternative A the best.

Timestamp	What is your zip code?	What would you like the project team to keep in mind as we move forward with the ReWild Mission Bay Restoration Plan?
2017/05/10 4:50:05 PM MDT	92037	We need water/bay side camping! None of your plans are in any way adequate for what the people of San Diego want. With our urban environment it is imperative that there is the wonderful experience of camping by the ocean for families in a safe environment. Your plans simply do not serve the citizens of San Diego county and the many thousands of visitors to Mission Bay each year. Your plans are not addressing the entire reason for the bay, which is recreation !
2017/05/10 4:54:55 PM MDT	81212	I think that Campland should remain at its current location. It is a beautiful spot for those campers who want to be near the ocean and not have to pay an over priced amount to stay in a hotel. For me, I love how Campland connects up with the bike trail. I want to continue to take my kids there every year so we may enjoy the amenities that Mission Bay and the surrounding area has to offer (small calm beach, Sea World, Zoo, Childrens Museum etc).
2017/05/10 7:54:34 PM MDT	91941	Camped there since 1993, great family and social expierences shared.
2017/05/10 9:24:34 PM MDT	91910	I think it was very deceptive at the De Anza Revitalization Project & the ReWild Mission Bay for you to want not only the tip of De Anza where the mobile home park had been, reverted to Marshland but also greedily want the land that "Campland on the Bay" sits on where it is the location with beach front access for camping in motorhomes, trailers and tents. The revenue that Campland creates for San Diego is tremendous, not only with the jobs that are created, but also by the recreation industry as trailer & rv rentals and all the jobs created at Campland on the Bay. At the ReWild presentations it was stated the marsh needs runoff from Rose Creek, that the marsh can't have salt water. Why doesn't the ReWild project use some of the money from the grants wasn't like 200,000 to fix the marsh that exists. Why aren't there nice signs letting people know whats out there. Or use some of that money to clean up the waterway that is west of Campland & the marsh, its a lot of tumbleweeds. I understand you want marshland well I would like gas to be 33 cents a gallon as it was in 1971 or bread was a nickel. Why can't the marsh be somewhere else, not where an existing viable, clean outdoor recreation for families from all over the United States. Don't you remember as a child watching the fireworks overhead at Mission Bay then having to pack up for the drive home. Well at Campland you sit around the campfires, sing songs, look & enjoy the beautiful water. The kids and grandchildren are all in a safe environment. People don't always want to stay in a hotel. There has to be an alternative to not take away Campland on the Bay as we know it or work together to perhaps have a weekly program with the campers & their children to teach them about the environment perhaps at marshmallow roasts or something of that nature. Perhaps on the west side of Campland maybe have a little bit of the marsh there where there looks there is some kind of water runoff. Anyway, I'm literally NOT a happy camper with the 3 terrible options you presented or with the options at the DeAnza Project either for that matter. We need our beach access for our motorized boats, jet-skis etc. Thank you, Cynthia Relph
2017/05/11 9:24:14 AM MDT	92082	Keep Campland as it is and where it is! We have already provided for the wildlands next to it. Citizens need to be able to stay at the bay and camp.
2017/05/11 3:23:01 PM MDT	92117	Maximize the wetlands area, go for plan A, and next time include numbers in the options, such as square footage or acreage and elevations. It's hard to know the differences in the photos by color scheme alone.



[Redacted]

Comments on draft plans

1 message

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

What is the Audubon Society's preference on the plan and trail proposals? I support more habitat and less trails (looks like option A) but can't figure out which of the three plans is proposing the best habitat. I'd like some input before I comment.

[Redacted]



Mission Bay Wetlands

1 message



I am completely opposed to any plan that would reduce the Mission Bay Golf Course and Camperland. It think it would be insane to demolish a treasured golf course which would cost millions to replace. Camperland is a one of kind camping experience available for families. As far as the wetlands goes we already have plenty of wetlands such as Fiesta Island and San Elijo lagoon just to mention a couple close by. While wetlands might be enjoyed by a few naturalists they are certainly not the majority. . How often and how many people would enjoy spending time at a swamp that breeds mosquitos and smells horrible—not many. The land would be far better used by most people (even naturalists) who would enjoy more recreation facilities as well as restaurants.

Please, Please let's not destroy the treasures we have and what so many enjoy and ruin it with another swampy wetland.

I beg of you to let reason prevail.



Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10



Please Support Tennis in the De Anza Revitalization Plan

2 messages

[REDACTED]

Dear Mayor Faulconer and Councilwoman Zapf,

I am writing you to request that you support the tennis facility as part of the De Anza Revitalization Plan. The Pacific Beach Tennis Club (PBTC), located within the Special Study Area of the revitalization plan, is part of a group of recreational activities that also include golf, the youth baseball and soccer fields, and camping which have wide support from the public. The popularity of these activities is proven by the lack of parking at the tennis courts and youth fields on many weekday afternoons and weekends. Therefore, the area for these activities in the De Anza Revitalization Plan should be preserved and perhaps even expanded to accommodate the demand, and this area should not be reduced as proposed by the ReWild plans.

Currently, PBTC is a beautiful, vibrant, affordable, and financially viable tennis facility that provides tennis and pickleball for all ages and abilities. PBTC also hosts a number of adult leagues, adult and youth tournaments, a world class youth academy for advanced players, and a free Summer youth tennis camp. With a "revitalized" tennis facility, I would expect even more usage from the local community and beyond, for many years to come.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

[REDACTED]

Dear Mayor Faulconer and Councilwoman Zapf,

I am writing you to request that you support the tennis facility as part of the De Anza Revitalization Plan. The Pacific Beach Tennis Club (PBTC), located within the Special Study Area of the revitalization plan, is part of a group of recreational activities that also include golf, the youth baseball and soccer fields, and camping, all which have wide support from the public. The popularity of these activities is proven by the lack of parking at the tennis courts and youth fields on many weekday afternoons and weekends. Therefore, the area for these activities in the De Anza Revitalization Plan should be preserved and perhaps even expanded to accommodate the demand, and this area should not be reduced as proposed by the ReWild plans.

Currently, PBTC is a beautiful, vibrant, affordable, and financially viable tennis facility that provides tennis and pickleball for all ages and abilities. PBTC also hosts a number of adult leagues, adult and youth tournaments, a world class youth academy for advanced players, and a free Summer youth tennis camp. With a "revitalized" tennis facility, I would expect even more usage from the local community and beyond, for many years to come.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,



Hi [redacted]

I think you meant to cc info@deanzarevitalizationplan.com

The ReWild Mission Bay project is a wetlands restoration feasibility study. How the City reconfigures the recreational areas is being developed as part of the De Anza Revitalization Plan. More info here: <http://www.deanzarevitalizationplan.com/>

Cheers,



Looking for ReWild Mission Bay?
Check out <http://rewildmissionbay.org> or Like & Follow us on [Facebook](#) and [Instagram](#)

[Quoted text hidden]



[Redacted]

response requested

2 messages

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

I'm getting an error trying to leave a response. Is this by design?? My response is as follows:

You still continue to ignore the public's response to wanting more camping on water front property. you continue to reduce Campland rather than keep it the same and I don't believe that supports the public view. I believe your public view is quite tainted to support your own agenda. Campland brings millions into San Diego each year and you continue to ignore the impact reducing Campland would have on San Diego economy. I think you are a small number of people throwing your weight around to get your agenda accomplished and are not listening to anyone else.

Jeanne

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Hi Jeanne,

Sorry you were getting an error! I will include you response in the public comments.

Cheers,



Looking for ReWild Mission Bay?

Check out <http://rewildmissionbay.org> or Like & Follow us on [Facebook](#) and [Instagram](#)

[Quoted text hidden]



comments to rewildmissionbay.org

1 message



San Diego needs to have access to camping spots on the bay. Adding more or at least keeping the same amount as mission bay currently has. NO deleting the amount of camping sites to Mission Bay!!!





Campland

1 message



Campland is a magical place for kids and families to go to and feel safe. There is no other place in SD for them. I think you can find other areas for what you are looking for

Sent from my iPhone



Rewild Mission Bay Plan

1 message

[REDACTED]

Dear Mayor Faulconer and Councilwoman Zapf,

I am strongly against many of the proposals of the current Rewild Mission Bay Plan. The plan suggests that the previous dredging of Mission Bay caused much of the current loss and endangerment to the various species of wildlife and plants that once inhabited the area. I have lived in the Bay Park area since 1954. At that time, the De Anza area of Mission Bay was in its natural state, but it was very smelly, and no one would go in or near the water. Dredging was sorely needed, and the result of that dredging improved the area to such a degree that the City-owned areas (the current youth fields, the tennis courts, and the golf course) were constructed with primarily youth but also the general public in mind. The Rewild Mission Bay Plan is now proposing to make most of that entire recreational area back into wetlands.

I am not an anti-environmentalist, but quite the opposite. The current wetlands known as the Northern Wildlife Preserve adjacent to Pacific Beach Drive is appreciated by both the environmentalists and the general public, and I agree that adding more area of wetlands is a good idea. When the initial Mission Bay Redevelopment Plan was proposed a year or two ago, their plans called for relocating Campland on the Bay and making that area into further wetlands alongside the current Northern Wildlife Preserve. This plan elated me; it was a plan that would further enhance the preservation of wildlife and plants in the area, but would also enhance the youth recreational areas as well as Mission Bay High School, Pacific Beach Tennis Club, and Mission Bay Golf Course. It seemed a good compromise for all concerned.

The popularity of these recreational areas is proven by the lack of parking at the youth fields and tennis courts on many weekends. The youth of today as well as the youth of yesterday who now have children and grandchildren of their own still enjoy and utilize these public lands for their recreational activities. While the San Diego's population and popularity is growing, it is against all justifiable reason that the Rewild Plan proposes these current recreational areas be eliminated or relocated further inland. In fact, it is my opinion that the area for "medium to high recreational use" (as noted in the Rewild plan) needs to be **increased** to adequately accommodate the recreational uses for **today's** needs for golf, camping, tennis, and youth fields that have been supported by the public for the past 50-60 years. None of the Rewild plan alternatives have enough areas for these uses.

Thank you for seriously considering my opinions, opinions that are reflected by the numerous families and individuals who utilize the De Anza area's recreational facilities.

[REDACTED]